

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11	SAMUEL SALDANA,	)	No. C 11-3921 LHK (PR)
12	Plaintiff,	)	
13	vs.	)	ORDER DENYING DEFENDANTS'
14		)	MOTION TO CHANGE TIME TO FILE
15	MICHAEL SAYRE, et al.,	)	OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
16	Defendants.	)	FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL,
17		)	MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF
		)	MEDICAL EXPERT AND MOTION TO
		)	STAY MOTION FOR SUMMARY
		)	JUDGMENT
		)	
		)	(Docket No. 32)

Plaintiff, a California prisoner, filed a *pro se* civil rights complaint pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. No. 1.) Defendants filed a motion to dismiss and for summary judgment. (Doc. No. 24.) Plaintiff has filed three motions: a motion to stay the Court's ruling on Defendants' motion for summary judgment pending discovery (Doc. No. 29); a motion for appointment of an independent medical expert, specifically an orthopedic specialist (Doc. No. 30); and a motion for appointment of counsel. (Doc. No. 31.) Defendants filed a motion for a fourteen-day extension of time to file their oppositions to Plaintiff's motions. (Doc. No. 32.)

Defendants' request is DENIED as moot. Defendants have filed timely responses to Plaintiff's motion for appointment of an independent expert (Doc. No. 36) and Plaintiff's motion to stay the Court's ruling on Defendants' motion for summary judgment. (Doc. No. 37.)

1 Plaintiff shall file a reply to Defendants' responses no later than twenty-one (21) days  
2 after the filing date of this order, if he chooses.

3 This order terminates docket number 32.

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 DATED: 10/29/12

  
LUCY H. KOH  
United States District Judge